Introduction

Mental health (MH) disorders are a major cause of morbidity and disease burden affecting over 450 million people worldwide (1). The government of India estimates, in its MH policy, that 2 out of 10 Indians are likely to suffer from some form of mental illness by the year 2020 (2). Depression has been linked to common conditions that present to the Emergency Department (ED) such as cardio-vascular illnesses, stroke, COPD, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, and cancer (3-9). As such, the prevalence of depression was found to be higher in ED patients when compared to the general population (10). Mental disorders, if unattended to, can lead to a worse outcome of the primary illness and has been linked to longer hospital stays as these patients show poor levels of self-care (11).

Patients presenting with deliberate self-harm or suicidal attempts are not uncommon to the ED and the cause is often attributed to mental illnesses (12). The Indian National Crime Bureau Records reports more than 100,000 deaths due to suicide in the country every year from 2004 to 2014 (13). Suicide was the second leading cause of death among 15-29 year olds globally in 2012 (14). Children and adolescents may also present with non-psychiatric problems as such somatization, behavioral disturbances, or substance abuse (15). In India, there is approximately one psychiatrist per 200,000-300,000 people (16). Seeking professional help requires insight and motivation, and that becomes even more difficult with underlying social stigmas and poor awareness in society (17-19). As such, these patients end up in the ED in moments of crisis.

To address the gap between increasing the burden of mental illnesses and limited resources, the WHO suggests that primary diagnosis and basic management of MH disorders be done by primary care physicians (20). This becomes more relevant in the Indian scenario because Emergency Medicine is a new specialty. Newly established EDs are increasing in the country and it is essential that our programs and policies be more sensitive to the patients’ needs. Screening patients for MH disorders in the ED is recommended (21, 22).

Hyderabad is a major Indian city with a population over 3.9 million (23). As there are no studies on MH assessment in ED in India, we...
felt it important to obtain baseline data in Hyderabad. Therefore, this study aims at learning the knowledge, attitude, and practices (KAP) of Emergency Physicians (EPs) in the management of patients with MH disorders in one major Indian city.

Materials and Methods

This is an observational study where a survey was carried out during the months of June to August, 2015. 40 multi-specialty hospitals were included in the study having minimum total bed strength of 50.

This study did not interfere with existent patient care and no patient data was acquired. Only the Emergency physicians were interviewed.

An oral informed consent was taken from the medical superintendent or the Head of the ED. 38 Hospital authorities consented to the study. Our target population was EPs who had at least 1 year of experience in core emergency medicine. 117 EPs consented to participate in the study. It was explained that the confidentiality of the institute and the respondents will be maintained.

Prior to the main study a pilot survey was done at a national conference where 25 EPs from 10 different Indian cities were questioned. The pilot study helped in improving the questionnaire.

The study investigator met with each of 117 doctors and provided the questionnaire. A personal interview was conducted and direct feedback was taken. The questionnaire had 15 parts mostly based on a yes or no option. Few questions allowed descriptive answers. One major question had several sub questions where EPs were required to answer on basis of their experiences.

Data from the questionnaire was tabulated and analysis done using Microsoft Excel software. Results were later reproduced in form of tables and pie charts.

This study was approved by Institutional Ethics Committee - Clinical studies of Apollo Hospitals, Hyderabad.

Results

The data obtained from the questionnaire are presented in Table 1 (estimating exposure and disease awareness), in Table 2 (practices in evaluation and referrals), in Table 3 (training and knowledge), and in Table 4 (attitude).

EPs were asked to explain their reason for seeking psychiatric referrals, to which 80/117 responded. The responses were variable; yet, deliberate self-harm or suicidal attempt was the most common reason for psychiatric referral quoted by 55/80 physicians. EPs were also asked to elaborate the discharge advice they gave to patients regarding their mental illness. 35/53 responded with answers like “counseling the patient,” “counseling the family,” “avoiding the trigger,” and “exercise and meditation.”

Resources availability

102/117 (87.2%) physicians said their hospital has a psychiatrist available during OPD hours, but not 24/7. Less than 20% of hospitals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1. Have you seen a mentally ill patient in your ER that needed better treatment?</td>
<td>106 (90.6%)</td>
<td>11 (9.4%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2. Do you believe Mental illnesses are a major healthcare burden?</td>
<td>99 (84.7%)</td>
<td>6 (5.1%)</td>
<td>12 (10.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MH: mental health; ER: emergency room

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1. Is there a management/ referral policy in your department for mentally ill patients?</td>
<td>19 (16.2%)</td>
<td>98 (83.8%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2. Do you think MH assessment is ignored due to absence of departmental protocols?</td>
<td>96 (82.1%)</td>
<td>7 (5.9%)</td>
<td>14 (12.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3. Do you think that it is difficult to evaluate MH because of lack of time or busy ER environment?</td>
<td>78 (66.6%)</td>
<td>19 (16.2%)</td>
<td>20 (17.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4. Do you give any discharge advice to patients regarding their mental illness?</td>
<td>53 (45.3%)</td>
<td>64 (54.7%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1. Have you received formal training in managing people with depression or mental disorders?</td>
<td>16 (13.7%)</td>
<td>101 (86.3%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2. Do you know of any criteria for diagnosing depression?</td>
<td>37 (31.6%)</td>
<td>80 (68.4%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3. Do you use any scale or tool to diagnose depression?</td>
<td>21 (17.9%)</td>
<td>96 (82.1%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4. Are you aware of non-pharmacological management of depression?</td>
<td>74 (63.2%)</td>
<td>43 (36.8%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5. Do you feel that due to lack of training or knowledge the identification of mental illness can be difficult?</td>
<td>88 (75.2%)</td>
<td>15 (12.8%)</td>
<td>14 (12.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In reference to Table 3, Q1 - 12 out of the 16 EPs who said yes quoted their postgraduate curriculum in emergency medicine as formal training.
In reference to Table 3 Q3 - 15 out of the 21 EPs who said yes quoted using SADPERSONS score when dealing with patients with deliberate self-harm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>EPs knowing criteria for diagnosing depression</th>
<th>EPs not knowing criteria for diagnosing depression</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1. Do you think MH assessment is ignored due to absence of departmental protocols?</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2. Do you believe Mental illnesses are a major healthcare burden?</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Odds ratio: 2.48. Suggests physicians knowing criteria of diagnosing depression are more likely to evaluate mental health of patients in Emergency Room.

EPs: emergency physicians; MH: mental health
Table 5. Attitude towards MH disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1. Do you think EPs have no role in management of mental illnesses?</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2. Do you feel patients with MH disorders are treated as unwelcome in ER?</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3. Do you feel the awareness about MH disorders is very poor in ER staff?</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4. Is your approach to patients with mental illness influenced by your own personal (not clinical) experiences in handling the same?</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5. Have you experienced that patients or their family DO NOT take the advice for a psychiatric referral kindly?</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EP: emergency physicians; ER: emergency room; MH: mental health

Figure 1. 85% (a+b) of Emergency physicians rarely or almost never evaluate the mental health of patient
had inpatient facilities for psychiatric patients. A psychologist and rehabilitation programs were found in less than 10% of the hospitals surveyed.

Pilot study: In the pilot study, 84% (21/25) of EPs said their departments did not have a management policy for MH disorders and 92% (23/25) did not receive any training to handle MH disorders.

Discussion

This study showed that over 90% EPs have come across mentally ill patients. Most of them also agreed that MH disorders are a major healthcare burden (Table 1). This suggests awareness about disease burden exists and that MH related patient visits are not uncommon to EDs.

85% of EPs rarely or never evaluate MH of patients (Figure 1). Assessment was mostly done for patients in whom the presenting complaint or behaviour was obviously indicative of a mental illness. This suggests that MH evaluation is a neglected aspect in ED domain. This also suggests that patients with an occult MH disorder may be not receiving adequate treatment as no screening is done.

More than 80% physicians said their ED has no management or referral policy for MH disorders and 82% said this is a major reason why evaluation of mental health is ignored (Table 2). Criteria for seeking a psychiatric referral and the discharge advice given to patients concerning their MH varied from EP to EP. Lack of clear guidelines or a protocol that helps in decision making were found to be main reasons for the inconsistencies in management and disposition of these patients.

Most of EPs haven’t undergone any formal training in managing patients with MH disorders and over 75% say this is a reason why identification of mental illness in patients becomes difficult (Table 3). Over 2/3 EPs do not know any criteria of diagnosing depression and over 80% did not use any tool or scale to diagnose depression. This may be because psychiatry as a subject is not emphasised in the undergraduate period or ignored in the EDs. Less than 10% EPs quoted postgraduate curriculum and exams as training. This suggests EPs with only 1 year experience or having lesser qualifications are not getting trained in this aspect. It was also found that EPs who knew criteria of diagnosing depression were more likely to evaluate the mental health of patients (Table 4) suggesting the positive impact of training that can have on evaluation practices. Most of the multi-speciality hospitals have a psychiatrist but only during limited OPD hours. None of the hospitals surveyed had a mental healthcare professional available 24/7. This suggests that mental illnesses are not considered as medical emergencies by the management. Less than 20% hospitals surveyed had inpatient facilities for these patients and below 10% surveyed had a psychologist or mental rehab/support programs. Mentally ill patients are considered as speciality cases and are referred to psychiatric hospitals.

Majority of EPs said that awareness about MH disorders is very poor in their ED staff and have felt that patients with mental illnesses were treated as unwelcome in ED. 60% EPs have experienced patients or their family members don’t take the advice for a psychiatric referral kindly considering it unnecessary. 44% of EPs said their approach and attitude to patients with MH disorders is affected by their own personal experiences (Table 5). These observations suggest that underlying prejudice and stigma associated with mental illnesses may negatively impact overall management.

Study limitations

The results of this study were based on the individual responses of EPs and their understanding of their working environment. They may or may not depict the exact functionality of their departments. As such, separate studies are recommended within individual hospitals.

Conclusion

Mental health of patients is rarely assessed in EDs. Most of the EDs have no departmental policy on mental illnesses and EPs are not trained to handle MH related issues in patients. As such referral practices and the discharge advice given to patients regarding MH are inconsistent. EP’s attitude to these patients is often influenced by their own personal experience. Most of the hospitals do not have adequate resources for mentally ill patients. Urgent actions are warranted at departmental and community level to create awareness about and to tackle this problem effectively.
Recommendations

1) MH assessment and screening for mental illness should be done along with physical examination for all patients in the ED.
2) It is strongly recommended that a departmental MH policy is established.
3) Training of EPs and ED staff is mandated in managing MH-related issues in busy ED environments.
4) Provision of inpatient facilities and a 24/7 on-call MH care professional should be considered by all hospitals.
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