Introduction

Individuals experience a number of feelings like anxiety, excitement, sorrow, pleasure, and fear in the face of various events in their daily lives in the ever developing and complicating world. One of these feelings is anger. Anger is one of the five basic feelings—the others being happiness, sorrow, fear, and hate—that is experienced by a person and is a natural affection for human beings (1-3). Anger is defined as a strong feeling that is related to cognitions appearing against any real or supposed frustration, threat, or injustice, and it prompts the person to remove the disturbing stimulants (4).

One of the areas probably the most important one—where anger management is crucial is the health sector. It could be asserted that in this sector, the unit being exposed to anger and violence the most often is the emergency unit. Every individual has different ways of expressing their feelings. Disputes experienced between the medical staff and patients/patient relatives are not surprising. Patients usually express their anger either directly or indirectly by rejecting the treatment and care, refusing to cooperate, making frequent demands, verbal bullying or using sarcastic words, and constant complaining. They are mostly unable to explicitly express their anger, and consequently, try to express their anger indirectly as they are dependent on others for their care (5).

Emergency departments are units that provide medical evaluation and treatment for patients that have met with accidents or require emergency intervention. Physicians, nurses, and other medical staff working in this department realize the treatment and care of emergency patients as a team by focusing on specific goals (6). Studies have determined that a majority of patients are admitted to the emergency department because of the following: perceiving...
themselves and their relatives as emergency cases, living close to the emergency department, waiting for a shorter time to be examined, being unable to get in line in relevant polyclinics, demanding prescriptions or reports, and having parenteral practices (7).

Emergency personnel are under constant stress because of the department’s environment, excessive number of patients to be taken care of, patient deaths, sleep disorders, and intensive work schedules (8). Patients and patient relatives, on the other hand, usually have fear and anxiety because they do not know what to do. They expect emergency intervention as they consider their condition more urgent than the other patients, and society has not adopted the necessity for triage yet. This usually causes disputes between the emergency staff and patients/patient relatives.

This study was conducted in order to determine the anger states of the relatives of critically ill patients admitted to the emergency department and the reasons for these anger states.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study design**

This descriptive and cross-sectional study was conducted in order to examine the anger states of the relatives of critically ill patients admitted to the emergency department and the reasons for these anger states.

**Time and place of the study**

This study involved 202 relatives of critically ill patients at the Emergency Department of the Ataturk University Research Hospital from September 3, 2013 to January 30, 2014.

**Population and sample group of the study**

While the population of the study consisted of all the relatives of critically ill patients who applied to the Emergency Department of the Ataturk University Research Hospital between the specified dates, the sample group consisted of 202 relatives of critically ill patients who applied to the emergency clinic between the specified dates, met the inclusion criteria, and accepted to participate in the study.

Because the needs of the relatives of patients, who were followed-up for more than 24 hours at the emergency department, could change (Redley B, Beanland), the data of the study were collected by interviewing with patient relatives that met the inclusion criteria at the emergency department and attended on patient, who was followed up at the emergency department, within the first 24 hours.

**Inclusion criteria of the study:**

- Being a relative of the patient admitted to the emergency department and meeting the emergency and serious criteria,
- Being older than 18,
- Having no previous or present psychiatric problems.

**Data collection**

**Data collection tools**

Being developed by the researcher in line with literature and consisting of a single part, the questionnaire included questions regarding the demographic characteristics of patient relatives, emergency department, and anger reasons of patient relatives in the emergency department.

**Data collection method**

The patient relatives who participated in the study were informed about the objective of the study and that the information would not be used anywhere other than the study, and their verbal consents were received. The study data were collected by the researcher conducting a face-to-face interview with the patient relatives within the first 24 h after being admitted to the hospital. The data collection process took approximately 8–10 min for each person.

**Data assessment**

The study data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA) version 16.0. Percentage distribution, mean, and chi-square tests were used to assess the data. In the comparison of the groups in terms of independent variables, variance was used for the data exhibiting a normal distribution and the Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis test were used for the data exhibiting no analysis distribution. While examining the intergroup difference, 0.05 was used as the significance level. A significant intergroup difference was indicated as p<0.05 and no significant intergroup difference as p>0.05.

**Ethical principles of the study**

An ethical committee approval was received from the Health Sciences Faculty of Ataturk University in order to conduct the study; further, official permission was taken by presenting an information form describing the objective and scope of the study to the Head Physician of the Erzurum Ataturk University Research Hospital in order to implement the study. Since the use of human phenomenon in studies requires the protection of personal rights, the patient relatives were verbally informed about the objective of the study. They were told that their personal information would be protected after being shared with the researcher and that the acquired information and identity of the answerer would be kept confidential. They were allowed to withdraw from the study at any time. Thus, verbal permissions from the patient relatives were received by fulfilling the ethical principles of “Informed Consent,” “Autonomy,” and “Confidentiality and the Protection of Confidentiality.”

**Generalizability of the study**

The results obtained from the study could be generalized to the relatives of critically ill patients coming to the Emergency Department of Ataturk University Research Hospital, however they could be also used in studies conducted in different emergency departments.

**Results**

By examining the descriptive characteristics of patient relatives that were included in the study (Table 1), it was determined that 38.7% of the patient relatives were in the age range of 38 years and above; 54.5%, female; 44.6%, high school graduates; 29.7%, civil servants or housewives; 46.5%, insured by the Social Insurance Institution; and 42.3%, children of the patients based on their affinity with the patient.

Table 2 illustrates the distribution of the characteristics of patients and patient relatives with regard to the emergency department. Evidently, 44.6% of the patient relatives came to the emergency department between 08:01 and 16:00, 86.6% of the patient
relatives came with the patient, 21.3% of the patients had a cardiovascular complaint, 52.4% of the patients were transferred to the relevant department, and 21.8% of the patients were admitted because of a trauma/accident.

Table 3 illustrates that 45.8% of the patient relatives were enraged about the treatment duration; 42.4%, about deficiency of treatment; 49.2%, about lack of information; 39.0%, about lack of communication; 44.1%, about irrelevance of the medical staff; and 57.6%, about the waiting time. It was observed that 32.2% of the patient relatives would have decreased anger if the waiting times were reduced.

Discussion

Anger is frequently experienced between the patients/patient relatives and the medical staff in emergency departments (9). Patient relatives have close feelings with the patients with regard to their psychological state and become angry and anxious unless an effort is made to understand their feelings and they are respected. The presence of angry patient relatives may subject the medical staff to violence (10).

It is indicated that patients and patient relatives may occasionally have an increased level of anger toward emergency services personnel, which may result in violence (11-13). In these studies, the patient relatives experiencing anger were determined to be in the age range of 18–27 years, male, and university graduates. The studies
Table 3. Distribution of characteristics of patient relatives with regard to the state ofrage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State of raging in the emergency service</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>59</th>
<th>29.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of raging about registration procedures (n=59)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>81.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of raging about treatment duration (n=59)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>54.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of raging about deficiency of treatment (n=59)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>57.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of raging about deficiency of medical staff (n=59)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>86.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of raging about deficiency of the waiting environment (n=59)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of raging about lack of information (n=59)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of raging about lack of communication (n=59)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of raging about irrelevance of medical staff (n=59)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>55.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of raging about waiting duration (n=59)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>57.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What to do to remove the anger(n=59)</td>
<td>Reduce the durations of waiting</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Make sufficient explanations/reduce the waiting duration /train the medical staff about communication</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Make sufficient explanations</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Train the medical staff about communication Reduce the durations of waiting/increase the number of medical staff/train the medical staff about communication</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase the number of medical staff</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pectations of medical staff can gradually change, and the communication process becomes more problematic. In their study, Boz et al. (25) stated that longer waiting times increased the anger levels of patients/patient relatives, leading them to exhibit violence toward the medical staff.

Similar to the reasons of anger stated in this study, the following facts increase the anger levels of patients/patient relatives and cause medical staff to be exposed to violence. Patient relatives request to be immediately taken care of and they think that their patients are more urgent, waiting rooms are untidy and crowded, registration procedures take a long time, patients and patient relatives have suspicions about the irregular business or injustice regarding inclusions, number of medical staff is insufficient during the busiest time of the day, and the staff displays irrelevance (10, 17, 19, 22, 23, 25).

As a consequence, it is assumed that making the necessary explanations to the patients/patient relatives, providing them with large and comfortable recreation places where they can wait, allowing them to see their patients after certain intervals, facilitating the bureaucratic procedures, increasing the number of medical staff, and making the medical staff approach the patients with an empathetic attitude will increase the satisfaction of the patients/patient relatives admitted to emergency services, meet their expectations, minimize communication problems with patients/patient relatives at the emergency services, and decrease the levels of anger.

**Study Limitations**

The limitations of this study are that every patient applying to the emergency clinic due to increased patient flow was not included in the study, and the results of the study could be generalized only to the institution where the study was conducted.
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